Scrambling in Polish and minimalist derivations

Jacek Witkoś (School of English, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań)

This presentation focuses on the issue of clause internal scrambling in Polish viewed form the perspective of minimalist syntax. The presentation is divided into three sections.

In the first section it is shown, on the basis of clitic placement facts, focus propagation and the structure of idiomatic phrases, that Polish double object constructions exhibit strict word order of the following type:

(1) Subject – verb – indirect object – direct object.

The aim of this section is to show that any surface linearizations different from (1) must result from movement rather than base generation.

Section two presents the mechanics of scrambling as a movement triggered by the [+EPP] and [+P] features of the heads placed in the medial domain of the clause. It is argued that movement within this domain displays traits of A-bar movement and the order of objects is set at the edge of the verbal projection.

Section three presents two types of scrambling to the left peripheral position in the clause; one shows properties of A-movement and allows for the extension of the binding domain of the moved constituent, (2a), and the other shows properties of A-bar movement, easily facilitating reconstruction, (2b):

(2)

- a. Object verb subject
- b. Object Subject verb

The concluding section explores consequences of the view of scrambling presented above for the phenomenon of the bleeding of Weak Crossover (WCO) effects in Polish, long distance scrambling and the definition of A/A-bar positions in minimalism.

Selected references:

Babyonyshev, M. 1996. Structureal connections in syntax and processing: Studies in Russian and Japanese. Doctoral dissertation, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Baylin, J. F. 2003. Does Russian scrambling exist? In Karimi, S. (ed). 156 -176.

Bošković, Ž and D. Takahashi. 1998. Scrambling and last resort. Linguistics Inquiry 29: 347-66.

Brown, S. 1999. *The syntax of negation in Russian: A minimalist approach*. Stanford: CSLI Publications.

Cardinaletti, A. 1997. Agreement and control in expletive constructions. *Linguistic Inquiry* 28: 521–33.

Chomsky, N. 1995. The Minimalist Program. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Chomsky, N. 1988/2000. Minimalist inquiries. In Martin, R., D. Michaels and J. Uriagereka (eds.). 89–156.

Chomsky, N. 1999. Derivation by Phase. MIT Occasional Papers in Linguistics 18.

Chomsky, N. 2001. Beyond explanatory adequacy. Ms. MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Den Dikken, M. 1995. Binding, Expletives and Levels. Linguistic Inquiry 26: 347-54.

Epstein, S. D., Groat, E., Kawashima, R. and H. Kitahara. 1998. *A Derivational Approach to Syntactic Relations*. Oxford: OUP.

Epstein, S.D. and N. Hornstein (eds.). 1999. Working minimalism. Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press.

Fox, D. 1999. Reconstruction, Binding Theory and the Interpretation of Chains. *Linguistic Inquiry* 30: 157–196.

Grewendorf, G. and W. Sternefeld (eds.). 1990. *Scrambling and barriers*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Hornstein, N. 1995. Logical form: From GB to minimalism. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell.

Karimi, S. (ed). 2003. Word order and scrambling. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Blackwell.

Kiss, K.2003. Argument scrambling, operator movement and Topic movement in Hungarian. In Karimi, S. (ed.).22-45.

Koizumi, M. 1995. Phrase structure in minimalist syntax. Doctoral dissertation, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Koopmann, H. and D. Sportiche. 1991. The position of subjects. *Lingua* 85: 211-58.

Lasnik, H. 1999. Minimalist analysis. Oxford: Blackwell.

Lebeaux, D. 1998. Where does the binding theory apply? NEC Research Institute Technical Report.

Mahajan, A. 1990. The A/A-bar distinction and movement theory. Doctoral dissertation. MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

Richards, N. 1999. Featural Cyclicity and the ordering of Multiple Specifiers. In *Working Minimalism*. S. D. Epstein and N. Hornstein (eds.) 127–58. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Saito, M. 1992. Long distance scrambling in Japanese. *Journal of East Asian Linguistics* 1: 69–118.

Tajsner, P. 1998. Minimalism and functional thematization. Poznań: Motivex.

Thrainsson, H. 1996. On the (non)universality of functional categories. In Abraham et al. 253–281.

Thrainsson, H. 2003. Syntactic variation, historical development and minimalism. In Hendric, R. (ed). 152–191.

Ura, H. 2000. *Checking theory and grammatical functions in Universal Grammar*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Willim, E. 1989. *On word order. A government-binding study of English and Polish*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Naukowe Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego.

Witkoś, J. 2003. *Movement and Reconstruction: Questions and Principle C effects in English and Polish*. Frankfurt am Mein: Peter Lang.