The Struggle of Ideologies and the Evolution of Meaning (on the example of 'liberal' and 'conservative')

Katarzyna Molek-Kozakowska

English Department Opole University

Introduction

The aims of this paper:

- to identify **general discursive tendencies** in the evolution of meaning of the words 'liberal' and 'conservative' in (web-accessed) American political commentary,
- to contextualize the findings within semiotics and memetics,
- to demonstrate that the process of meaning evolution in political discourse may be accelerated to accommodate the ideological preferences of dominant groups.

The evolution of meaning in the context of semiotics and memetics

Semiotics – an interdisciplinary investigative perspective to explore and theorize how signs are created and used (cf. Wąsik);

INFLUENTIAL THEORIES:

- Charles S. Peirce the dynamic nature of signification, the triadic sign, evolution as generation of intermediating signs in the process of continuous semiosis;
- ☐ Jean Baudrillard resulting superfluity of signs, blurring of distinction between reality and representation (hyperreality).

The evolution of meaning in the context of semiotics and memetics

- Memetics a modern meta-discipline that explores evolutionary models of information transmission (cf. Journal of Memetics);
- Evolution pertains not only to biology or technology but also to language and culture: it is based on principles of selection and variability;
- Memes units of cultural information (competitively selected and creatively modified by individuals in each generation) (cf. Dawkins, Blackmore);
- Memetic engineering production/distribution of memes to suit ideological aims.

Discourse as a site of ideological struggle

- Discourse language imbricated in the exercise of power relations and ideological struggle (cf. Fairclough);
- Critical discourse analysis exposing through linguistic analysis the properties of texts and discursive conventions that are related to ideological meanings;
- Evolution of meaning as discursive innovation/ denaturalization of conventions;
- Political labels as "unstable fields of meaning potential" (cf. Fairclough).

Liberalism – a political doctrine or ideology that advocates individual liberty, freedom of thought and civil rights (cf. *Oxford Concise Dictionary of Politics*);

Liberal democracy – a political system that ensures that the rights of individual citizens are legally defined (e.g. property rights) and the powers of elected governments are fairly limited (e.g. unrestricted private enterprise, free market economy)

Liberal values, according to Liberal International, are:

...that the **state is only the instrument** of the citizens it serves; that any action of the state must respect the principles of democratic accountability; that constitutional liberty is based upon the principles of separation of powers; that justice requires that in all criminal prosecution the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, and to a fair verdict free from any political influence; that state control of the economy and private monopolies both threaten political liberty; that rights and duties go together, and that every citizen has a moral responsibility to others in society; and that a peaceful world can only be built upon respect for these principles. (cf. Oxford Manifesto)

- **Conservatism** an attitude rather than a political doctrine that involves (cf. Michalkiewicz):
- reluctance to embrace change,
- protecting timeless human values from dangers of rapid civilizational advancement,
- ensuring the integrity and continuance of tradition.

Some ideologically contentious *liberal* ideas criticized by *conservatives* in American political discourse (cf. Alterman):

- more government's influence in economy (control, licensing),
- anti-discrimination laws,
- general education,
- welfare programs (unemployment benefits, medical care),
- progressive taxation,
- redistribution of state revenues.

Direction of meaning evolution:

Liberal - from positive to negative

□ Conservative – from negative to positive

- Examples of evolving meaning of *liberal* (cf. Chafe, Alterman, Pratkanis and Aronson):
- □ John F. Kennedy (1960 campaign speech): 'I'm proud to say I'm a Liberal',
- ☐ Richard Nixon/Ronald Reagan (about their Democratic adversaries): Liberal extremists,
- ☐ George Bush (1988, about Michael Dukakis): `an ACLU liberal,... soft on crime"
- ☐ George W. Bush (2004, about John Kerry): 'most liberal member in Congress'

Liberal bias – a label popularized to cast doubt on the objectivity of news coverage that is too critical of Republicans or too favorable to Democrats

Some bestsellers 'exposing' liberal bias

- Sean Hannity's Let Freedom Ring: Winning the War of Liberty over Liberalism (2002).
- Ann Coulter's Slander: Liberal Lies about the American Right (2003) and Treason: Liberal Treachery from the Cold War to the War on Terrorism (2004),
- Michael Savage's The Savage Nation: Saving America from the Liberal Assault on Our Borders, Languages and Culture (2003),
- Mona Charen's Useful Idiots: How Liberals Got it Wrong in the Cold War and Still Blame America First (2003),
- David Limbaugh's Persecution: How Liberals are Waging War Against Christianity (2003),

Appreciation of conservatism:

- The rise of televangelism disseminating conservative convictions;
- More conservative radio and television news outlets (Fox News Channel);
- □ Republicans' successful rhetoric explicit connections between conservative politics and family values and morality (cf. Lakoff);
- □ Increase in the number of elected conservative officials (mayors, governors);
- Neocon(servative)s take the blame for Iraq.

- Examples of the use of *liberal* and conservative for political advantage (cf. Conway et al., Naureckas et al.):
- □ Talk radio (e.g.The Rush Limbaugh Show, The Savage Nation),
- TV (e.g. Fox News Channel The O'Reilly Factor),
- □ Internet (e.g. Conservapedia).

Conclusions

The study identifies some decisive shifts in the evolution of meaning of the words liberal and conservative. It demonstrates that the terms' unstable fields of meaning potential are frequently activated to trigger desired connotations rather than to refer to their core denotations. Particularly, the terms liberal and neocon have been used as terms of abuse. This indicates that both terms function as memes that have been engineered to suit ideological aims.

References

- Alterman, Eric. "Think Again: The word 'Liberal" Center for American Progress 29 July 2004. www. americanprogress.org/issues/2004/07/b131981.html 9 June 2007.

 Baudrillard, Jean, Symulakry i symulacia [Simulacres et simulacion]. Trans. Sławomir Królak, Warsz
 - Baudrillard, Jean. *Symulakry i symulacja [Simulacres et simulacion]*. Trans. Sławomir Królak. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Sic!, 2005 [1981].
- Blackmore, Susan. *The Meme Machine*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999.
- Chafe, William H. *The Unfinished Journey. America since World War II.* 4th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1999.
- Conway, Mike, Maria E. Grabe and Kevin Grieves. "Villains, Victims and the Virtuous in Bill O'Reilly's 'No Spin Zone': Revisiting world war propaganda techniques" *Journalism Studies* vol.8 issue 2 (April 2007) 197-223.
- Dawkins, Richard. *The Selfish Gene.* 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989 [1976].
- Fairclough, Norman. *Critical Discourse Analysis: Papers in the Critical Study of Language.* Harlow: Longman, 1995.
- Fairclough, Norman. *Language and Power*. 2nd ed. Harlow: Longman, 2001 [1989].
- Journal of Memetics. Evolutionary Models of Information Transmission. www. jom-emit.org/index.html 4 Aug. 2007
- Lakoff, George. *Moral Politics: What Conservatives Know that Liberals Don't.* Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1996.
- "Liberalism" Conservapedia. Nov. 2006. www. conservapedia.com/Liberalism. 11 June 2007.
- "Liberalism" Oxford Concise Dictionary of Politics. Ed. by Jean McLean. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1960.
- Michalkiewicz, Stanisław. *Dobry "zły" liberalizm*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo von boroviecky, 2005.
- Naureckas, James, Steven Rendall and Jeff Cohen. *The Way Things Aren't: Rush Limbaugh's Reign of Error*. New York: The New Press, 1995.
- Oxford Manifesto: The Liberal Agenda for the 21st Century. November 1997. www. liberal-international.org/editorial.asp?ia_id=537 9 June 2007.
- Pratkanis, Anthony and Elliot Aronson. Wiek propagandy: Używanie i nadużywanie perswazji na co dzień. [Age of Propaganda: The everyday use and abuse of persuasion]. Trans. Józef Radzicki and Marcin Szuster. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, 2004 [2001].
- Wąsik, Zdzisław. *An Outline for Lectures on the Epistemology of Semiotics.* Opole: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Opolskiego, 1998.