## The $\Omega$ of A

## Markus A. Pöchtrager (Boğaziçi Üniversitesi, Istanbul)

In Government Phonology, the special status of the element A has long been noted (Cobb 1995, 1997; Kaye 2000). In this talk I take up a recent proposal (Kaye & Pöchtrager 2009) that A is not melodic, but structural. I will illustrate the thrust of the argument (mostly) with examples from English, but the implications are assumed to be universal.

English has monosyllables of the type V: $C_1C_2$ , such as *paint*, *feast* or *weird*. In such structures both members of the cluster must be coronal (Fudge 1969), i.e. contain **A**, with a proviso for *a* (as in *task* or *draft*). The systematicity does not end there, however: There is a clear connection between vowel height and the voicing of  $C_2$ , as noted in Pöchtrager (2006).

i: ( <u>I</u> )	u: ( <u>U</u> )	e: / eɪ (A · <u>I</u> )	o: / ou (A · <u>U</u> )	p: (U · <u>A</u> )	a: ( <u>A</u> )
fiend	wound	*	*	*	command, demand
*	*	paint, saint	wont, don't	taunt, haunt	aunt, grant

After vowels with no  $\mathbf{A}$  we only find nd, after vowels with  $\mathbf{A}$  and some other element only nt, after vowels with only  $\mathbf{A}$  – both. The interdependencies vary with the cluster; but again,  $\mathbf{A}$  plays a crucial role: e.g. long  $\mathbf{A}$ -headed vowels can be followed by rt and rd (board, card, court, cart), long vowels with  $\mathbf{A}$  as a non-head cannot be followed by either, and long vowels without  $\mathbf{A}$  – only by rd (weird).

Under current assumptions it is unclear *why* a <u>melodic</u> property such as vowel height (presence/role of **A**) would interact with an unrelated property such as voiceless/neutral, argued to be a structural difference in Pöchtrager (2006). The inevitable conclusion is that **A** must be structural itself. What English monosyllables show is not an interaction between structure and melody, but between two structural properties. This allows for a non-arbitrary explanation.

My claim will be that expressions previously assumed to contain **A** are structurally <u>bigger</u> than those without. This has a number of interesting corollaries, all of which seem to be correct.

- (1) The number of coronals in English outweighs the number of e.g. labials. If coronality (formerly: **A**) means more structure and hence more positions to exploit, this is to be expected.
- (2) **A**-harmony is surprisingly rare (Kaye p.c.). If **A** is structural, this is expected, as structure does not "spread".
- (3) If **A** is structural, coronals will provide extra room, which can explain why "superheavy rhymes" of the type  $V:C_1C_2$  are possible in the first place.
- (4) Kaye (2000), Pöchtrager (2006) propose that **A** can govern non-**A**. The governing potential might be derivable from structural size (cf. the metrical requirement of many languages that heads [governors] of feet need to branch.).

Cobb, Margaret (1995): Vowel Harmony in Zulu and Basque. SOAS Working Papers in Linguistics & Phonetics 5, 23–39.

Cobb, Margaret (1997): Conditions on Nuclear Expressions in Phonology. PhD dissertation, SOAS, London.

Fudge, Erik C. (1969): Syllables. Journal of Linguistics 5, 253–286.

Kaye, Jonathan (2000): A User's Guide to Government Phonology. Unpublished Ms.

Kaye, Jonathan & Markus A. Pöchtrager (2009): GP 2.0. Paper presented at the "Government Phonology Round Table", April 25, 2009, Piliscsaba/Hungary.

Pöchtrager, Markus A. (2006): The Structure of Length. PhD dissertation, University of Vienna.